less than 45° along which there has been apparent relative movement of the hanging wall over the foot wall.” Rules such as these when codified should be usable and useful material for surface and close subsurface work, and the writer recommends widespread adoption of such practice so that the meaning of thrust faulting may be clearly established and the distinction between thrust and reverse fault conditions clearly understood.

Meanwhile, it is to be hoped that geologists of the Gulf Coastal Plain will keep account of these seldom-to-be-seen exposures wherever and whenever noted in the region; and it is to be expected that with continuing interest in salt-dome geology, there may be an increase in the number so noted. But at the present time the East Front Street, Tyler, Texas, thrust fault serves as an example of an exposure which well may be considered as academically if not commercially significant.
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EASTERN GREAT BASIN PERMO-PENNYSylvanian STRATA—ADDITIONAL STATEMENT

HAROLD J. BISSELL
Provo, Utah

Since publication of the preliminary statement and ten figures relating to Pennsylvanian and Permian strata in the eastern Great Basin in the August, 1960, Bulletin (Bissell, 1960, p. 1424-1435), I have received queries concerning lack of references. Due to the limited space available at the time it was impossible to include references with the short note. All stratigraphic, geographic, and paleogeographic names which appear in the figures in that article are included in a longer manuscript, and a lengthy bibliography is included; a footnote in the 1960 paper indicated source of ultimate publication. Emphasis is given at this time that most of the figures used in the August, 1960, Bulletin article by me were included in the original and longer manuscript submitted to the Association in 1956; these include nomenclature of the correlation chart, geographic and paleogeographic features shown on maps, and other names. Permission was granted me to bring these figures up to date in light of field work completed by April, 1960, and to publish the short summary as a preliminary statement. Otherwise, ideas and concepts which I developed during the past ten years would not have been made available until possibly 1961 or 1962.

In reply to questions regarding source of some data appearing on certain of the figures in my August, 1960, short note, and in an attempt to clarify certain misunderstandings about priority and documentation, the following facts are offered. All nomenclatural, geographic, and paleogeographic names are extant as published information or are suggested as new by me in all ten figures. Brief mention is here made of some of the pertinent sources of information. Figures 1, 2, and 8: the name Antler orogenic belt is from Roberts et al. (1958). Figure 2: most of the stratigraphic names from Utah are from McKelvey et al. (1959), Hose and Repenning (1959), Bissell (1956; 1959a; 1959b), or my 1956 manuscript for the Pennsylvanian Symposium of the Association. For Nevada most names are self-evident, with these...