

## **‘Slab’ XRF: a quick and not-so-dirty method for estimating compositions of fine-grained rocks**

S.A. Hicks (fssah1), K.K. Jensen, R.J. Newberry, Department of Geology & Geophysics, University of Alaska, Fairbanks, AK

Conventional XRF (X-ray fluorescence) analysis of rocks requires preparation of a glass disk or pressed pellet from pulverized rock, largely to homogenize the constituent elements. Our work, however, shows that smooth cut slabs from fine-grained rocks yield near-quantitative results for major elements and provisionally for minor elements. We employ an elderly Rigaku wavelength-dispersive XRF standardized with pressed pellets of natural rock standards. Counting times vary from 10 to 100 seconds per element; 5 samples can be run in a batch load that analyzes for 10 oxides ( $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ ,  $\text{MnO}$ ,  $\text{TiO}_2$ ,  $\text{CaO}$ ,  $\text{K}_2\text{O}$ ,  $\text{P}_2\text{O}_5$ ,  $\text{SiO}_2$ ,  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ ,  $\text{MgO}$ , and  $\text{Na}_2\text{O}$ ) and takes 40 minutes to complete.

Ideally-sized specimens are cut flat with a conventional diamond-blade rock saw to between 3 and 5 cm diameters. Surfaces are polished briefly if there are significant surface irregularities from sawing; in most cases acceptable results are given by raw cut surfaces. Replicate slabs yield consistent results  $\pm 5\%$  of the amount present; smaller or composite samples yield low totals but relative abundances that (once normalized to 100%) are comparable to those for full-sized samples. Slabs with grain sizes up to 2 mm appear to yield satisfactory results if the minerals are homogeneously distributed; for coarser-grained rocks the results are highly dependent on sample positioning within the sample holder. For those fine-grained rocks of consistent, known composition we have tested (e.g., Browns Hill Quarry basalt) ‘slab xrf’ results are very comparable to standard XRF. Our greatest difficulty lies with analysis of  $\text{SiO}_2$ , as even small relative errors lead to significant absolute errors and because at high concentrations the concentration-response curve is distinctly non-linear. We employ two drift monitor standards—one appropriate for low- and moderate- $\text{SiO}_2$  and one for high- and very high- $\text{SiO}_2$  rocks—to cope with this problem.

We have thus far employed ‘slab xrf’ for two sorts of studies: categorization of fine-grained, low-grade metamorphic rocks from central Big Delta quadrangle and categorization of fine-grained rocks from the introductory geology collection. Examination of the fine-grained metamorphic rocks shows that nearly  $\frac{1}{2}$  of the field classifications were in error; in particular, rocks described as felsic or intermediate ‘meta-tuff’ invariably possess compositions indicative of sedimentary protoliths. In contrast, most rocks identified in the field as greenstone truly have basaltic major oxide compositions. Geology collection rocks and minerals have offered a few surprises, for example, ‘Wards Albite’ is an intermediate plagioclase; a sample of ‘pitchstone (basalt)’ is actually a rhyolite. ‘Slab XRF’ could be a useful tool for estimating degree of dolomitization in fine-grained carbonate rocks; for basic naming of fine-grained volcanic rocks; and for quartz vs clay determinations in mudstones.