
**Mass transport deposits on the southwestern
Newfoundland slope, eastern Canada**

MICHAEL GILES¹, DAVID MOSHER², AND GRANT WACH¹
1. Department of Earth Sciences, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia B3H 4J1, Canada <mkgiles@dal.ca> ¶ 2. Geological Survey of Canada (Atlantic), Bedford Institute of Oceanography, P.O. Box 1006, Dartmouth, Nova Scotia B2Y 4A2, Canada

There is global recognition of the importance of mass failure processes in the evolution of passive continental margins as demonstrated in the modern seafloor geomorphology of Canada's eastern continental slope. Investigation of the Cenozoic section of Newfoundland's SW slope will help quantify the importance of these processes in this region, providing both depositional models for the area as well as helping to identify potential geohazards. This margin is an active exploration frontier and the location of the tsunami-inducing 1929 Grand Banks Landslide. Seismic facies analysis of recently acquired multibeam, 2D and 3D seismic data from the SW slope provides evidence of successive mass failures at a variety of scales. The occurrence of stacked, regionally extensive mass transport deposits (MTDs) indicates that this was an important process during the Cenozoic evolution of the SW Newfoundland margin. The largest MTD on the margin covers an area of 900 km² and is mid-late Miocene in age. It has thicknesses as much as 500 m and using an average thickness of 250 m, the estimated volume for this MTD is 225 km³. Overlying this MTD is a stack of up to 9 MTDs that occur between the mid-late Miocene and Middle Pleistocene. The largest of these MTDs covers an area up to 400 km² and has thicknesses between 85 and 150 m. Their volumes are estimated to be as much as 60 km³. MTDs that occur above the Middle Pleistocene are typically localized failures and have thickness between 20–30 m with volumes less than 1 km³. On the SW Newfoundland margin, MTDs make up 30–40% of the sedimentary column between the top of a Cretaceous unconformity and the Middle Pleistocene. Above the Middle Pleistocene, an additional 20–25% of sedimentary column can be represented by small localized failures.

Historic earthquake data demonstrate that the region is susceptible to increased seismicity over most of the Canadian east coast margin, perhaps actuated by latent tectonic structures, such as the Cobequid-Chedabucto fault system. The 1929 submarine landslide was clearly activated by a M7.2 earthquake in this area. In all likelihood, the observed MTDs in this region were generated by ground accelerations due to earthquakes. Nonetheless, pre-conditioning factors are required to prepare the sediments for failure, and it is perhaps these factors that explain the difference in the size of MTDs. The regionally extensive sediment mass failures that occurred during the Miocene to the early Pleistocene were pre-conditioned by: (1) seaward-

dipping faults between a top Cretaceous unconformity and a mid-late Miocene marker; (2) over-steepening of sediments in response to ongoing salt tectonics; and (3) sea-level lowering that began in the Miocene. Pleistocene and younger MTDs were significantly influenced by high sedimentation rates from numerous Pleistocene glaciations and elevated content of in situ (biogenic?) gas in the shallow section.